

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**  
**SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA**

JUNE FULLECIDO-REYNO; FREDDIE  
REYNO, Sui Juris; JFW ADULT  
RESIDENTIAL CARE,

Claimants,

DAVID WYNN-MILLER,  
Plenipotentiary Judge,

Co-Claimant

vs.

SAND CANYON CORPORATION, as  
successor to Option One Mortgage Capital  
Corporation and Premier Trust Deeds  
Services, Inc.; FANNIE MAE  
CORPORATION, TS-Number CA-07-  
79964-JB, #19089622; MORTGAGE  
ELECTRONIC REGISTRATION  
SYSTEMS, INC.; MERS CORP.;  
MICHAEL BURNETT, ESQ &  
MATTHEWS, LLP; MICHAEL  
BURNETT, ESQ; DAVID OWEN; RICK  
HOFFMAN; CLAUDIA ADAMS;  
RONALD D. ROUP, ESQ; BRAD M.  
SIMON, ESQ; CYNTHIA LAICA, ESQ;  
G. GEOFFREY BARRY, ESQ; KIP LEE;  
MATTHEW WINTERS; JEFF  
WAGNER; CINDIE WILLIS; MARK  
KEIPER; JOSEPH MASSARO;  
GERALDINE VALDEZ, ESQ; W.L.  
ROSS; LARRY LITTON; JOHN  
STUMPF; JOSEPH CALTABELLOTTA;

CASE NO.10cv1899 WQH (AJB)

ORDER

1 THOMAS G. ROCK; PATRICK  
2 MCCLAIN; JAMES E. ROHR; OPTION  
3 ONE MORTGAGE; CAPITAL  
4 MORTGAGE, C2935541; CT  
5 CORPORATION SYSTEMS;  
6 RESIDENTIAL FUNDING REAL  
7 ESTATE HOLDINGS, LLC; QUALITY  
8 LOAN SERVICE CORPORATION,  
9 C1613350; KEVIN R. MCCARTHY,  
10 ESQ; GRAND BANK, N.A.; CARNEGIE  
11 MORTGAGE, LLC; ORANGE COAST  
12 LINE, C0703360; MICHAEL M.  
13 KALUGER, JR ESQ; STEVE  
14 FERNANDO; OPTION ONE  
15 MORTGAGE CAPITAL  
16 CORPORATION, C2935541;  
17 MCCALLA RAYMER LAW GROUP,  
18 LLC; JEFFREY M. SCHWARTZ, ESQ,  
19 SB 25916; H&R BLOCK; ARGENT  
20 MORTGAGE COMPANY, LLC; TOWN  
21 AND COUNTRY TITLE SERVICES,  
22 INC.; UNIGROUP, INC.; UNITED VAN  
23 LINES, (Registered Agent: Jan Roby  
24 Alonzo); SULLIVAN MOVING &  
25 STORAGE,

Vassalees.

16 HAYES, Judge:

17 On February 3, 2011, this Court issued an Order dismissing the Complaint and stating:


18 Plaintiffs may file a motion for leave to file an amended complaint within  
19 thirty days from the date of this Order. Plaintiffs must obtain a hearing date  
20 pursuant to the Local Rules of Civil Procedure before filing any motion. In the  
event no motion is filed, the Court will close the case.

21 (ECF No. 102 at 7).

22 On February 9, 2011, Plaintiffs filed a Motion “For the Summary-Fault-Judgment of  
23 the Vassalees’ -Failure with the C.-S.-S.-C.-P.-S.-G.-Answering to the Clerk of this Document-  
24 Contract-Postal-Vessel-Court Within the Time-Limits of the 21-Days.” (ECF No. 110 at 1)  
25 (emphasis omitted). The document contains a two-page caption, one page of text asserting “for  
26 the pleadings-syntax-corrections of the court-pleadings are with the fault judgment against  
27 these vassalees by these claimants,” and twenty-one pages of “Proof of Service & Declaration  
28 of server.” *Id.* at 3-4 (emphasis omitted).

1 To date Plaintiffs have failed to file a motion for leave to file an amended complaint.  
2 Accordingly, the clerk shall close the case. Plaintiffs' Motion "For the  
3 Summary-Fault-Judgment of the Vassalees'-Failure with the C.-S.-S.-C.-P.-S.-G.-Answering  
4 to the Clerk of this Document-Contract-Postal-Vessel-Court Within the Time-Limits of the  
5 21-Days" is DENIED as moot. (ECF No. 110).

6 DATED: March 4, 2011

7   
8 **WILLIAM Q. HAYES**  
9 United States District Judge  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28